




Spring 2011 Student Course Evaluations  
Spring11 2011Columbia College  
Chicago


Course:	43-2410 01 - Aesthetics of the Motion Picture Soundtrack	Department:	AAA
Responsible Faculty:	Panteleimon Vassilakis	Resp. Rec'vd / Expected:	11 / 15



Student Evaluation of Course		43-2410 - 01									--- Comparisons ---			
		Responses				Course					AAA		All	
		[SA]	[A]	[D]	[SD]	Med.	Mode	S.D.	N	Mean	Mean	Pct	Mean	Pct
Q1	The course requirements were clearly stated in the syllabus.	7	4	0	0	4	4	.48	11	3.64	3.60	58	3.53	57
Q2	Progression in the course was logical from start to finish.	6	5	0	0	4	4	.50	11	3.55	3.49	52	3.46	52
Q3	The total amount of material covered in the course was reasonable.	5	3	2	1	3	4	1	11	3.09	3.47	12	3.41	19
Q4	The course content was up to date.	6	4	1	0	4	4	.66	11	3.45	3.57	22	3.51	37
Q5	The textbook was useful (if no textbook was used, skip this question.)	7	1	0	0	4	4	.33	8	3.88	3.35	84	3.23	84
Q6	The supplemental learning materials were useful.	10	1	0	0	4	4	.29	11	3.91	3.42	99	3.39	94
Q7	The presentation tools were effective.	8	3	0	0	4	4	.45	11	3.73	3.47	81	3.38	80
Q8	The assignments were meaningful and worthwhile.	6	4	0	1	4	4	.88	11	3.36	3.43	40	3.35	43
Q9	The course objectives matched what was taught.	7	4	0	0	4	4	.48	11	3.64	3.50	72	3.48	63


Q10	The course was sufficiently challenging.	7	3	1	0	4	4	.66	11	3.55	3.50	59	3.42	56
Q11	I would recommend this course to a friend.	7	2	1	1	4	4	.98	11	3.36	3.38	50	3.29	46
Number of Courses / Survey Responses used for Comparisons:											78 / 683		2,929 / 24,646	
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=4 [A] Agree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1														
Pct = Percentile Rank (Higher is better)														

Q12 - Please share any other comments or suggestions you may have about this course.	
Response Rate:	18.18% (2 of 11)
-	This is an Arts and Film School, cut out the university crap 
-	If the due dates were going to be on a different day than the class that would have been nice to know BEFORE I designed my entire schedule for the semester. Especially since it was so based on forum discussions, and most of my group didn't start until Sunday night, a night I had to work. Perhaps a note in the course requirements warning future students 



Evaluation of Student Development		43-2410 - 01									--- Comparisons ---			
		Responses				Course					AAA		All	
		[SA]	[A]	[D]	[SD]	Med.	Mode	S.D.	N	Mean	Mean	Pct	Mean	Pct
Q13	I learned a great deal in this course.	7	3	1	0	4	4	.66	11	3.55	3.47	59	3.37	58
Q14	I can apply what I learned in this course to work in my future career.	6	3	1	1	4	4	.96	11	3.27	3.59	15	3.39	31
Q15	I was encouraged to put a great deal of effort into this course.	7	3	0	1	4	4	.89	11	3.45	3.43	49	3.43	43
Q16	This course helped me to express my ideas more clearly.	5	4	1	1	3	4	.94	11	3.18	3.26	34	3.25	36
Q17	I can more independently critique my own work and progress as a result of what I learned in this course.	6	3	1	1	4	4	.96	11	3.27	3.38	30	3.26	43
Number of Courses / Survey Responses used for Comparisons:											78 / 681		2,929 / 24,519	
Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=4 [A] Agree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1														
Pct = Percentile Rank (Higher is better)														





Student Evaluation of Teaching		Vassilakis, Panteleimon										--- Comparisons ---			
		Responses				Individual						AAA		All	
		[SA]	[A]	[D]	[SD]	Med.	Mode	S.D.	N	Mean	Mean	Pct	Mean	Pct	
Q18	The instructor was prepared for class.	9	2	0	0	4	4	.39	11	3.82	3.64	75	3.60	70	
Q19	The instructor regularly started and stopped class on time.	8	2	1	0	4	4	.64	11	3.64	3.62	50	3.58	48	
Q20	The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area.	10	1	0	0	4	4	.29	11	3.91	3.79	70	3.71	72	
Q21	The instructor communicated effectively.	7	1	2	1	4	4	1.05	11	3.27	3.52	21	3.46	26	
Q22	The instructor encouraged student participation.	7	4	0	0	4	4	.48	11	3.64	3.53	63	3.56	50	
Q23	The instructor treated students with respect and courtesy.	9	0	1	1	4	4	.99	11	3.55	3.66	29	3.62	32	
Q24	The instructor used teaching methods well-suited to the course.	8	2	0	1	4	4	.89	11	3.55	3.51	48	3.47	47	
Q25	The instructor made all assignments clear.	4	4	0	2	3	3,4	1.10	10	3	3.48	8	3.40	14	
Q26	The instructor returned tests and assignments promptly.	4	6	0	1	3	3	.83	11	3.18	3.47	21	3.46	21	
Q27	The instructor was available to give help outside of class.	5	4	1	0	3.5	4	.66	10	3.40	3.43	43	3.42	40	



Survey Report

Q28	The instructor stimulated intellectual and/or artistic curiosity.	6	4	0	1	4	4	.88	11	3.36	3.54	33	3.47	31
Q29	The instructor followed the course syllabus.	9	2	0	0	4	4	.39	11	3.82	3.54	88	3.51	82
Q30	The instructor was fair in evaluating and grading student work.	6	3	1	1	4	4	.96	11	3.27	3.58	12	3.51	21
Q31	I would take another course with this instructor.	7	1	1	2	4	4	1.19	11	3.18	3.49	21	3.36	29
Number of Individuals / Survey Responses used for Comparisons:											78 / 685	3,010 / 25,482		

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=4 [A] Agree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1  
Pct = Percentile Rank (Higher is better)

Q32 - Please share any other comments or suggestions you may have about this instructor.

Faculty:	Vassilakis, Panteleimon
Response Rate:	18.18% (2 of 11)

-	I learned a lot more about many things than I had expected to learn in this course, and I feel that because of the way it was taught, I was able to look at films and other forms of art in an entirely different way. Also, the teacher tended to go off on a lot of tangents/digressions, and while this may seem counter productive, I found every one very meaningful to the course and the learning experience in general. Very enthusiastic instructor, and I am excited to take a course with him in the future.	
-	I was generally confused by a lot of what he expected of me. When I asked questions and shared my problems I felt I became targeted in front of the entire class.	

Fall 2010 Student Evaluation of Course & Teaching  
Fall10 2010

Columbia College  
Chicago

Course: 43-2310 01 - Introduction to Psychoacoustics and Sound Perception Department: AAA

Responsible  
Faculty: Panteleimon Vassilakis

# Responses: 8

Overall Mean: **3.64** 4-Point Likert Scale (239 responses)

Evaluation of Student Development	43-2310 - 01							--- Comparisons ---			
	Responses				Course			AAA		All	
	[SA]	[A]	[D]	[SD]	S.D.	N	Mean	Mean	Pct	Mean	Pct
<b>Q1</b> I learned a great deal in this course.	5	3	0	0	.48	8	<b>3.63</b>	<b>3.49</b>	55	<b>3.39</b>	61
<b>Q2</b> I can apply what I learned in this course to work in my future career.	5	3	0	0	.48	8	<b>3.63</b>	<b>3.61</b>	43	<b>3.41</b>	59
<b>Q3</b> I was encouraged to put a great deal of effort into this course.	5	2	0	0	.45	7	<b>3.71</b>	<b>3.41</b>	68	<b>3.45</b>	68
<b>Q4</b> This course helped me to express my ideas more clearly.	5	3	0	0	.48	8	<b>3.63</b>	<b>3.28</b>	73	<b>3.28</b>	72
<b>Q5</b> I can more independently critique my own work and progress as a result of what I learned in this course.	5	3	0	0	.48	8	<b>3.63</b>	<b>3.41</b>	63	<b>3.29</b>	71

Number of Courses / Survey Responses used for Comparisons: 83 / 624 3,319 / 26,526

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=4 [A] Agree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1  
Pct = Percentile Rank (Higher is better)

Student Evaluation of Course	43-2310 - 01							--- Comparisons ---			
	Responses				Course			AAA		All	
	[SA]	[A]	[D]	[SD]	S.D.	N	Mean	Mean	Pct	Mean	Pct
<b>Q6</b> The course requirements were clearly stated in the syllabus.	6	2	0	0	.43	8	<b>3.75</b>	<b>3.52</b>	78	<b>3.55</b>	69
<b>Q7</b> Progression in the course was logical from start to finish.	5	3	0	0	.48	8	<b>3.63</b>	<b>3.47</b>	66	<b>3.48</b>	57
<b>Q8</b> The total amount of material covered in the course was reasonable.	4	3	1	0	.70	8	<b>3.38</b>	<b>3.44</b>	41	<b>3.44</b>	36
<b>Q9</b> The course content was up to date.	6	2	0	0	.43	8	<b>3.75</b>	<b>3.57</b>	75	<b>3.54</b>	68
<b>Q10</b> The textbook was useful (if no textbook was used, skip this question.)	5	3	0	0	.48	8	<b>3.63</b>	<b>3.25</b>	58	<b>3.27</b>	68
<b>Q11</b> The supplemental learning materials were useful.	5	3	0	0	.48	8	<b>3.63</b>	<b>3.43</b>	64	<b>3.40</b>	68
<b>Q12</b> The presentation tools were effective.	5	2	1	0	.71	8	<b>3.50</b>	<b>3.45</b>	46	<b>3.41</b>	48
<b>Q13</b> The assignments were meaningful and worthwhile.	6	1	1	0	.70	8	<b>3.63</b>	<b>3.41</b>	63	<b>3.36</b>	66
<b>Q14</b> The course objectives matched what was taught.	7	1	0	0	.33	8	<b>3.88</b>	<b>3.49</b>	91	<b>3.51</b>	85
<b>Q15</b> The course was sufficiently challenging.	8	0	0	0	0	8	<b>4</b>	<b>3.45</b>	96	<b>3.41</b>	90
<b>Q16</b> I would recommend this course to a friend.	5	2	1	0	.71	8	<b>3.50</b>	<b>3.41</b>	45	<b>3.33</b>	50

Number of Courses / Survey Responses used for Comparisons: 83 / 625 3,318 / 26,346

Responses: [SA] Strongly Agree=4 [A] Agree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1  
Pct = Percentile Rank (Higher is better)

Student Evaluation of Teaching	Vassilakis, Panteleimon							--- Comparisons ---			
	Responses				Individual			AAA		All	
	[SA]	[A]	[D]	[SD]	S.D.	N	Mean	Mean	Pct	Mean	Pct
<b>Q17</b> The instructor was prepared for class.	7	1	0	0	.33	8	<b>3.88</b>	<b>3.60</b>	84	<b>3.62</b>	74
<b>Q18</b> The instructor regularly started and stopped class on time.	6	1	0	1	1	8	<b>3.50</b>	<b>3.57</b>	34	<b>3.60</b>	28
<b>Q19</b> The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area.	8	0	0	0	0	8	<b>4</b>	<b>3.77</b>	66	<b>3.73</b>	73
<b>Q20</b> The instructor communicated effectively.	2	4	2	0	.71	8	<b>3</b>	<b>3.44</b>	14	<b>3.44</b>	13
<b>Q21</b> The instructor encouraged student participation.	7	1	0	0	.33	8	<b>3.88</b>	<b>3.44</b>	80	<b>3.57</b>	77
<b>Q22</b> The instructor treated students with respect and courtesy.	5	3	0	0	.48	8	<b>3.63</b>	<b>3.63</b>	40	<b>3.64</b>	36
<b>Q23</b> The instructor used teaching methods well-suited to the course.	5	1	2	0	.86	8	<b>3.38</b>	<b>3.42</b>	43	<b>3.48</b>	31
<b>Q24</b> The instructor made all assignments clear.	5	3	0	0	.48	8	<b>3.63</b>	<b>3.44</b>	64	<b>3.38</b>	63
<b>Q25</b> The instructor returned tests and assignments promptly.	7	1	0	0	.33	8	<b>3.88</b>	<b>3.41</b>	92	<b>3.46</b>	86
<b>Q26</b> The instructor was available to give help outside of class.	5	2	1	0	.71	8	<b>3.50</b>	<b>3.35</b>	57	<b>3.41</b>	49
<b>Q27</b> The instructor stimulated intellectual and/or artistic curiosity.	7	0	1	0	.66	8	<b>3.75</b>	<b>3.48</b>	73	<b>3.49</b>	66
<b>Q28</b> The instructor followed the course syllabus.	7	0	0	1	.99	8	<b>3.63</b>	<b>3.52</b>	64	<b>3.54</b>	53
<b>Q29</b> The instructor was fair in evaluating and grading student work.	6	1	1	0	.70	8	<b>3.63</b>	<b>3.56</b>	49	<b>3.54</b>	51
<b>Q30</b> I would take another course with this instructor.	5	2	1	0	.71	8	<b>3.50</b>	<b>3.43</b>	44	<b>3.36</b>	45



Number of Individuals / Survey Responses used for Comparisons: 83 / 647 3,423 / 28,216

**Responses:** [SA] Strongly Agree=4 [A] Agree=3 [D] Disagree=2 [SD] Strongly Disagree=1

**Pct** = Percentile Rank (Higher is better)

**Question:** Please share any other comments or suggestions you may have about this course.





**Response Rate:** 25.00% (2 of 8)

- 1 The wording on the assignments were ambiguous at times, but was still relevant to the material. 
- 2 I'm not so sure making this course a requirement was a great idea. 

**Faculty:** Vassilakis, Panteleimon

**Question:** Please share any other comments or suggestions you may have about this instructor.

**Response Rate:** 50.00% (4 of 8)

- 1 Professor Vassilakis was very knowledgeable and passionate about the material studied. He went out of his way to help me more than once. 
- 2 Obviously extremely intelligent in audio, however, difficult to follow, needs to realize that most people cannot grasp some of these concepts as quickly as he expects, which seemed to be after the first explanation. 
- 3 Lectures are fast-paced, but rewarding. Pantelis speaks English well aside from the occasional syntax error which can cause slight confusion. 
- 4 Very polite and showed interest in individuals, was noted that the teacher was available for outside discussion and help. 

**1. Rate your classroom learning experience in this program.**

Excellent

**2. Did your courses and coursework meet your academic requirements? How did they differ from those in Chicago?**

Yes they exceeded them. (second question is N/A)

**3. How would you rate your overall experience?**

	Poor	OK	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
Academically					X
Culturally			X		
Personally					X
Professionally				X	

<b>Part I - Student Evaluation of Course</b>	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Neutral</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Dept.</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>All College</b>
1.) The course requirements were clearly stated in the syllabus	5 62.5%	3 37.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.63	1.43	1.41	1.42
2.) Progression of the course was logical from start to finish	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.75	1.33	1.26	1.31
3.) The total amount of material covered in the course was reasonable	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.75	1.30	1.24	1.28
4.) The course content was up-to-date/current	5 62.5%	1 12.5%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.38	1.43	1.38	1.39
5.) The textbook was useful (if no textbook was used, skip to question 6)	5 83.3%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	1.67	1.25	1.00	1.05
6.) The supplemental learning materials were useful	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.75	1.26	1.22	1.22
7.) The presentation tools were effective	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.88	1.33	1.24	1.22
8.) The assignments were meaningful and worthwhile	5 62.5%	1 12.5%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.38	1.21	1.21	1.18
9.) The course objectives matched what was taught	5 62.5%	3 37.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.63	1.36	1.33	1.34
10.) The course was sufficiently challenging	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.75	1.38	1.31	1.28
11.) I would recommend this course to a friend	6 75.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.50	1.21	1.14	1.10
<b>Part I - Student Evaluation of Course Average</b>	72.3%	20.5%	6.1%	1.1%	0.0%	100.0%	1.64	1.32	1.25	1.25

<b>Part II - Evaluation of Student Development</b>	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Neutral</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Dept.</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>All College</b>
12.) I learned a great deal in this course	6 75.0%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.63	1.35	1.25	1.22
13.) I can apply what I have learned in this course to work in my future career	6 75.0%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.63	1.49	1.39	1.22
14.) I was encouraged to put a great deal of effort into this course	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.75	1.32	1.36	1.29
15.) This course helped me to express my ideas more clearly	5 62.5%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.50	1.09	1.15	1.06
16.) I can more independently critique my own work/progress as a result of what I learned in this course	6 75.0%	0 0.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.50	1.21	1.21	1.08
<b>Part II - Evaluation of Student Development Average</b>	72.5%	15.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1.60	1.29	1.27	1.17

<b>Part III - Student Evaluation of Teaching</b>	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Neutral</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Dept.</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>All College</b>
17.) The instructor was prepared for class	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.88	1.50	1.46	1.47
18.) The instructor regularly started and ended class on time	8 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	2.00	1.47	1.46	1.46
19.) The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.88	1.68	1.58	1.57
20.) The instructor communicated effectively	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.88	1.33	1.31	1.29
21.) The instructor encouraged student participation	6 75.0%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.63	1.36	1.45	1.43
22.) The instructor treated students with respect and courtesy	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.75	1.50	1.49	1.48
23.) The instructor used teaching methods well-suited to the course	6 75.0%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.63	1.29	1.32	1.32
24.) The instructor made all assignments clear	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.75	1.27	1.22	1.24
25.) The instructor returned tests and assignments promptly	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.75	1.29	1.29	1.32
26.) The instructor was available to give help outside of class	5 62.5%	3 37.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.63	1.22	1.28	1.24
27.) The instructor stimulated intellectual and/or artistic curiosity	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.88	1.33	1.34	1.30
28.) The instructor followed the course syllabus	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.88	1.41	1.38	1.39
29.) The instructor was fair in evaluating and grading student work	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.88	1.39	1.36	1.37
30.) I would take another course with this instructor	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	1.88	1.28	1.20	1.16
<b>Part III - Student Evaluation of Teaching Average</b>	82.1%	16.1%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1.81	1.38	1.37	1.36

<b>Overall Average</b>	76.9%	17.5%	5.1%	0.4%	0.0%	100.0%	1.71	1.34	1.31	1.29
------------------------	-------	-------	------	------	------	--------	------	------	------	------

<b>Comments</b> Fantastic professor that takes more than the time he has to make sure his students along with his faculty (since he is the head of the department) are not only on top of their responsibilities, but also that everyone is content. He has gone out of his way to write recommendation letters, advise me with my senior project, as well as direct me in an extremely positive direction. If only I could have taken more classes with him...
This course should be called Aesthetics of the Motion Picture Score. Since the motion picture soundtrack includes all of the sound and not just the music. The other elements of the soundtrack were only glanced upon once or twice. Secondly, this course should not be advertised towards AVM students, but is instead more suited for composing majors.
I very much enjoyed the structural depth involved in the topics discussed in the course, but I really wish there was more in class participation. Leaving all our responses and thoughts to the oasis posts leaves me wanting more. I would have greatly appreciated being able to bring in our own examples and do our own presentations to our class. More in class debate, and communications of the material would have made it a lot easier for me to write more on the oasis posts.
Awesome class. Pantelis is very knowledgeable and presents great materials that really make you think. He is an asset to the Columbia staff, and im glad to have taken a class with him before graduating.
Great course. Great teacher.
Pantelis had some of the most insightful lectures that i have heard in my time here at Columbia. Great teacher! Have already highly recommended to peers.
Great instructor.

Part I - Student Evaluation of the Course	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Mean	Dept.	School	All College
1.) The course requirements were clearly stated in the syllabus	6 85.7%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.86	1.41	1.45	1.41
2.) Progression of the course was logical from start to finish	3 42.9%	3 42.9%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.29	1.35	1.34	1.31
3.) The total amount of material covered in the course was reasonable	3 42.9%	2 28.6%	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.00	1.28	1.33	1.28
4.) The course content was up-to-date/current	5 71.4%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.71	1.38	1.40	1.38
5.) The textbook was useful (if no textbook was used, skip to question 6)	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.57	1.09	1.03	1.05
6.) The supplemental learning materials were useful	6 85.7%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.86	1.25	1.26	1.22
7.) The presentation tools were effective	6 85.7%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.86	1.28	1.28	1.21
8.) The assignments were meaningful and worthwhile	5 71.4%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.71	1.26	1.29	1.19
9.) The course objectives matched what was taught	5 71.4%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.71	1.36	1.38	1.33
10.) The course was sufficiently challenging	6 85.7%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.86	1.32	1.36	1.29
11.) I would recommend this course to a friend	5 71.4%	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.57	1.19	1.21	1.11
<b>Part I - Student Evaluation of the Course Average</b>	70.1%	24.7%	3.9%	1.3%	0.0%	100.0%	1.64	1.29	1.30	1.25

Part II - Evaluation of Student Development	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Mean	Dept.	School	All College
12.) I learned a great deal in this course	7 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	2.00	1.36	1.33	1.23
13.) I can apply what I have learned in this course to work in my future career	6 85.7%	0 0.0%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.71	1.45	1.45	1.21
14.) I was encouraged to put a great deal of effort into this course	5 71.4%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.71	1.25	1.38	1.27
15.) This course helped me to express my ideas more clearly	3 42.9%	2 28.6%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.14	1.07	1.23	1.08
16.) I can more independently critique my own work/progress as a result of what I learned in this course	3 42.9%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.14	1.19	1.26	1.08
<b>Part II - Evaluation of Student Development Average</b>	68.6%	20.0%	8.6%	2.9%	0.0%	100.0%	1.54	1.26	1.33	1.17

<b>Part III - Student Evaluation of Teaching</b>	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Neutral</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Dept.</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>All College</b>
17.) The instructor was prepared for class	6 85.7%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.86	1.43	1.47	1.45
18.) The instructor regularly started and ended class on time	5 71.4%	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.57	1.39	1.47	1.44
19.) The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area	7 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	2.00	1.65	1.58	1.56
20.) The instructor communicated effectively	3 42.9%	3 42.9%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.29	1.29	1.32	1.27
21.) The instructor encouraged student participation	4 57.1%	2 28.6%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.43	1.32	1.46	1.40
22.) The instructor treated students with respect and courtesy	5 71.4%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.71	1.43	1.48	1.45
23.) The instructor used teaching methods well-suited to the course	5 71.4%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.71	1.36	1.35	1.30
24.) The instructor made all assignments clear	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.57	1.29	1.29	1.25
25.) The instructor returned tests and assignments promptly	5 71.4%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.71	1.24	1.33	1.30
26.) The instructor was available to give help outside of class	3 42.9%	3 42.9%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.29	1.15	1.29	1.22
27.) The instructor stimulated intellectual and/or artistic curiosity	5 71.4%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.71	1.28	1.34	1.27
28.) The instructor followed the course syllabus	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.57	1.30	1.38	1.37
29.) The instructor was fair in evaluating and grading student work	5 71.4%	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.57	1.37	1.38	1.35
30.) I would take another course with this instructor	6 85.7%	0 0.0%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	1.71	1.22	1.23	1.15
<b>Part III - Student Evaluation of Teaching Average</b>	68.4%	25.5%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1.62	1.34	1.38	1.34

<b>Overall Average</b>	69.0%	24.3%	5.7%	1.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1.61	1.31	1.35	1.28
------------------------	-------	-------	------	------	------	--------	------	------	------	------

### Comments

Please share any other comments or suggestions you may have about this course and/or instructor (if you have no other comments/suggestions, please type in "none").

Don't have a 3 hour class in a stuffy room with white walls with multiple powerpoints and short films. This is a recipe for slumber.

The course was very tough, but after the point that I realized how much effort he expected of us the workload became more understandable. I feel that I developed studying techniques and discipline that will help me through the rest of my education and career.

Incredibly knowledge regarding the subject area and very dedicated to the discipline.

Part I - Student Evaluation of Course	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Mean	Dept.	School	All College
1.) The course requirements were clearly stated in the syllabus	7 77.8%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.78	1.44	1.46	1.39
2.) Progression of the course was logical from start to finish	4 44.4%	5 55.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.44	1.34	1.34	1.27
3.) The total amount of material covered in the course was reasonable	1 11.1%	4 44.4%	1 11.1%	3 33.3%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	0.33	1.31	1.32	1.25
4.) The course content was up-to-date/current	5 55.6%	4 44.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.56	1.44	1.41	1.37
5.) The textbook was useful (if no textbook was used, skip to question 6)	4 44.4%	5 55.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.44	1.10	0.99	0.99
6.) The supplemental learning materials were useful	6 66.7%	3 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.67	1.24	1.23	1.16
7.) The presentation tools were effective	5 55.6%	3 33.3%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.44	1.31	1.26	1.17
8.) The assignments were meaningful and worthwhile	7 77.8%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.78	1.22	1.26	1.14
9.) The course objectives matched what was taught	5 55.6%	3 33.3%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.44	1.33	1.37	1.30
10.) The course was sufficiently challenging	8 88.9%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.89	1.40	1.33	1.24
11.) I would recommend this course to a friend	4 44.4%	5 55.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.44	1.22	1.17	1.05
<b>Part I - Student Evaluation of Course Average</b>	56.6%	37.4%	3.0%	3.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1.47	1.30	1.29	1.21

Part II - Evaluation of Student Development	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Total	Mean	Dept.	School	All College
12.) I learned a great deal in this course	8 88.9%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.89	1.38	1.30	1.18
13.) I can apply what I have learned in this course to work in my future career	6 66.7%	3 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.67	1.50	1.42	1.16
14.) I was encouraged to put a great deal of effort into this course	7 77.8%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.78	1.29	1.36	1.23
15.) This course helped me to express my ideas more clearly	3 33.3%	4 44.4%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.11	1.05	1.17	1.01
16.) I can more independently critique my own work/progress as a result of what I learned in this course	3 33.3%	4 44.4%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.11	1.18	1.21	1.02
<b>Part II - Evaluation of Student Development Average</b>	60.0%	31.1%	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	1.51	1.28	1.29	1.12

<b>Part III - Student Evaluation of Teaching</b>	<b>Strongly Agree</b>	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Neutral</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Strongly Disagree</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Dept.</b>	<b>School</b>	<b>All College</b>
17.) The instructor was prepared for class	7 77.8%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.78	1.38	1.48	1.42
18.) The instructor regularly started and ended class on time	4 44.4%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	3 33.3%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	0.78	1.34	1.48	1.42
19.) The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area	8 88.9%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.89	1.65	1.59	1.55
20.) The instructor communicated effectively	2 22.2%	7 77.8%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.22	1.30	1.31	1.23
21.) The instructor encouraged student participation	3 33.3%	5 55.6%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.22	1.27	1.45	1.38
22.) The instructor treated students with respect and courtesy	5 55.6%	3 33.3%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.44	1.42	1.48	1.43
23.) The instructor used teaching methods well-suited to the course	5 55.6%	4 44.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.56	1.32	1.34	1.27
24.) The instructor made all assignments clear	5 55.6%	4 44.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.56	1.24	1.27	1.20
25.) The instructor returned tests and assignments promptly	7 77.8%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.78	1.20	1.31	1.25
26.) The instructor was available to give help outside of class	3 33.3%	3 33.3%	3 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.00	1.10	1.26	1.17
27.) The instructor stimulated intellectual and/or artistic curiosity	6 66.7%	3 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.67	1.32	1.34	1.25
28.) The instructor followed the course syllabus	5 55.6%	3 33.3%	0 0.0%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.33	1.34	1.39	1.34
29.) The instructor was fair in evaluating and grading student work	2 22.2%	3 33.3%	2 22.2%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	0.56	1.35	1.39	1.32
30.) I would take another course with this instructor	5 55.6%	2 22.2%	1 11.1%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	1.22	1.20	1.21	1.10
<b>Part III - Student Evaluation of Teaching Average</b>	53.2%	34.9%	6.3%	5.6%	0.0%	100.0%	1.36	1.32	1.38	1.31

<b>Overall Average</b>	55.6%	35.2%	5.6%	3.7%	0.0%	100.0%	1.43	1.31	1.33	1.24
------------------------	-------	-------	------	------	------	--------	------	------	------	------

### Comments

Please share any other comments or suggestions you may have about this course and/or instructor

A++++ Amazing teacher and person. I wish Pantelis taught more courses at Columbia, I would take any class he taught! One of my best college experiences.
Professor Vassilakis was extremely knowledgeable about the subject matter. His knowledge was actually rather intimidating. His assignments and tests were very challenging. Of all my courses this semester I tried the hardest in this one, but will probably receive my lowest grade. It was a very enlightening course and I would recommend both the course and the instructor to my colleagues.
Sometimes the lecture pace a little fast for me and I would lose attention. I feel the lectures should be slower and cover the significant topics and the reading material can fill in the rest.
Interesting yet challenging course.
This was one of my favorite classes that I have ever taken. Some times the assignments/exams were a little overwhelmingly tough, but that made it all the more fulfilling. The instructor was was extremely intelligent, and I wish he taught more classes here.